

Yakima, WA

Dashboard Summary of Findings

2014



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report summarizes Yakima's performance in the eight facets of community livability with the "General" rating as a summary of results from the overarching questions not shown within any of the eight facets. The "Overall" represents the community pillar in its entirety (the eight facets and general).

By summarizing resident ratings across the eight facets and three pillars of a livable community, a picture of Yakima's community livability emerges. Below, the color of each community facet summarizes how residents rated each of the pillars that support it – Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation. When most ratings were higher than the benchmark, the color is the darkest shade; when most ratings were lower than the benchmark, the color is the lightest shade. A mix of ratings (higher and lower than the benchmark) results in a color between the extremes.

Within Community Characteristics, the facets of Mobility and Recreation and Wellness had ratings that were similar to ratings in comparison communities; all other facets had ratings that were lower than the benchmark. Within Governance, the facet of Mobility had ratings similar to the benchmark while all others were lower than comparison communities. The pillar of Participation received more mixed ratings, with five facets reflecting ratings that were similar to the benchmark, and five facets that reflected lower ratings than the benchmark. This information can be helpful in identifying the areas that merit more attention.

Figure 1: Dashboard Summary

	Comm	unity Characte	eristics		Governance		Participation			
	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	Higher	Similar	Lower	
Overall	0	18	33	0	15	30	1	22	9	
General	0	0	7	0	1	2	0	0	3	
Safety	0	0	3	0	2	5	0	1	2	
Mobility	0	6	2	0	5	3	0	3	0	
Natural Environment	0	0	2	0	3	3	0	0	1	
Built Environment	0	1	4	0	3	3	0	1	1	
Economy	0	2	6	0	0	1	1	2	0	
Recreation and Wellness	0	5	2	0	1	3	0	5	0	
Education and Enrichment	0	3	3	0	0	2	0	1	1	
Community Engagement	0	1	4	0	0	8	0	9	1	

Legend	
	Higher
	Similar
	Lower

The National Citizen Survey™

Figure 2: Detailed Dashboard

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall appearance	\leftrightarrow	↓ ↓	30%	Customer service	\leftrightarrow	↓ ↓	43%	Recommend Yakima	\leftrightarrow	↓↓	54%
	Overall quality of life	\leftrightarrow	↓ ↓	46%	Services provided by Yakima	\leftrightarrow		46%	Remain in Yakima	↓	↓	73%
	Place to retire	\leftrightarrow	↓	44%	Services provided by the Federal Government	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	30%	Contacted Yakima employees	\leftrightarrow	↓	35%
	Place to raise children	\leftrightarrow	1	44%								
<u>ro</u>	Place to live	\leftrightarrow	1	52%								
General	Neighborhood	\leftrightarrow		61%								
ළ	Overall image	\leftrightarrow	1	21%								
	Overall feeling of safety	1	↓ ↓	29%	Police	\leftrightarrow	↓	53%	Was NOT the victim of a crime	\leftrightarrow	↓	75%
	Safe in neighborhood	\leftrightarrow	l l	76%	Crime prevention	1	ļ ļļ	28%	Did NOT report a crime	1	↓	65%
	Safe downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	† ‡	60%	Fire	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	82%	Stocked supplies for an emergency	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	33%
					Fire prevention	\leftrightarrow		52%				
_					Ambulance/EMS	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%				
Safety					Emergency preparedness	\leftrightarrow		33%				
S					Animal control	1	↓ ↓	31%				
	Traffic flow	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	54%	Traffic enforcement	\leftrightarrow	↓	43%	Carpooled instead of driving alone	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	49%
	Travel by car	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	67%	Street repair	1	\leftrightarrow	47%	Walked or biked instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%
	Travel by bicycle	\leftrightarrow	↓	36%	Street cleaning	1	\leftrightarrow	49%	Used public transportation instead of driving	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	22%
	Ease of walking	\leftrightarrow		48%	Street lighting	1	\leftrightarrow	47%				
	Travel by public transportation	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%	Snow removal	\leftrightarrow	↓	43%				
	Overall ease travel	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	69%	Sidewalk maintenance	\leftrightarrow	1	28%				
≥	Public parking	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	43%	Traffic signal timing	1	\leftrightarrow	43%				
Mobility	Paths and walking trails	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%	Bus or transit services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	61%				
	Overall natural environment	\leftrightarrow	↓	54%	Garbage collection	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	81%	Recycled at home	\leftrightarrow	↓	73%
يد	Cleanliness	\leftrightarrow	↓ ↓	31%	Recycling	\leftrightarrow	↓ ↓	42%				
ЭE					Yard waste pick-up	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	63%				
Environment					Drinking water	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%				
3 ≒					Open space	\downarrow	1	30%				
Ē					Natural areas preservation		1	34%				
Built Environment	New development in Yakima	\leftrightarrow	↓	38%	Sewer services	1	\leftrightarrow	68%	NOT experiencing housing cost stress	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	64%
	Affordable quality housing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	33%	Storm drainage	\leftrightarrow	1	42%	Did NOT observe a code violation	\leftrightarrow	1 1	34%
	Housing options	\leftrightarrow	Į į	36%	Utility billing	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	52%				
	Overall built environment	\leftrightarrow	Į į	39%	Land use, planning and zoning	\leftrightarrow	↓ ↓	28%				
ᄪ	Public places	\leftrightarrow	1 1	30%	Code enforcement	\leftrightarrow	1 1	16%				
.≣			1		Cable television	\leftrightarrow	↔	43%				



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$

The National Citizen Survey™

	Community Characteristics	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Governance	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive	Participation	Trend	Benchmark	Percent positive
	Overall economic health	\leftrightarrow	↓ ↓	26%	Economic development	\leftrightarrow	1	25%	Economy will have positive impact on income	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	22%
	Shopping opportunities	\leftrightarrow	↓	33%					Purchased goods or services in Yakima	\leftrightarrow	↔	93%
	Employment opportunities	1	\leftrightarrow	27%					Work in Yakima	\leftrightarrow	1	63%
	Place to visit	\leftrightarrow	1	37%								
	Cost of living	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	39%								
Economy	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	\leftrightarrow	↓	22%								
2	Place to work	\leftrightarrow	1	44%								
ы Ы	Business and services	\leftrightarrow	1	40%								
- 23	Fitness opportunities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	57%	City parks	\leftrightarrow	1	54%	In very good to excellent health	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	50%
je l	Recreational opportunities	\leftrightarrow	1	46%	Recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	1	41%	Used Yakima recreation centers	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	58%
le l	Health care	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	45%	Recreation programs	\leftrightarrow	1	45%	Visited a City park	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	80%
M pue	Food	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	50%	Health services	\leftrightarrow	↔	50%	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%
Recreation and Wellness	Mental health care	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	40%					Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%
ĕ	Health and wellness	\leftrightarrow	Ţ	48%								
Şe	Preventive health services	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	46%								
	K-12 education	Ţ	1	49%	Public libraries	\leftrightarrow	1	69%	Used Yakima public libraries	\leftrightarrow	1	56%
	Cultural/arts/music activities	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	44%	Special events	\leftrightarrow	j	38%	Attended a City-sponsored event	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	45%
	Child care/preschool	Ţ	\leftrightarrow	43%			·		<u> </u>			
and ו	Religious or spiritual events and activities	↓	\leftrightarrow	66%								
를 달	Adult education	\leftrightarrow	J.	43%								
Education and Enrichment	Overall education and enrichment	\leftrightarrow	1	45%								
	Opportunities to participate in community matters	1	1	47%	Public information	\leftrightarrow	1	46%	Sense of community	\leftrightarrow	1 1	28%
	Opportunities to volunteer	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	59%	Overall direction	1	1	38%	Voted in local elections	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	79%
	Openness and acceptance	\leftrightarrow	↓	37%	Value of services for taxes paid	1	1	32%	Talked to or visited with neighbors	*	\leftrightarrow	89%
	Social events and activities	\leftrightarrow	1	41%	Welcoming citizen involvement	\leftrightarrow	1	33%	Attended a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	17%
nent	Neighborliness	\leftrightarrow	1	40%	Confidence in City government	\leftrightarrow	1	29%	Watched a local public meeting	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	35%
gagen					Acting in the best interest of Yakima	\leftrightarrow	↓	33%	Volunteered	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	47%
Ē.					Being honest	\leftrightarrow	1	33%	Participated in a club	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	35%
Community Engagement					Treating all residents fairly	\leftrightarrow	1	32%	Contacted Yakima elected officials	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	14%
					,				Read or watched local news	\leftrightarrow	\leftrightarrow	86%
Ö									Done a favor for a neighbor	*	\leftrightarrow	83%



 $\uparrow\uparrow\quad \text{Much higher} \qquad \uparrow\quad \text{Higher} \qquad \leftrightarrow\quad \text{Similar} \qquad \downarrow\quad \text{Lower} \qquad \downarrow\downarrow\quad \text{Much lower} \qquad ^{\star}\quad \text{Not available}$