

Yakima, WA

Trends over Time

2014



2955 Valmont Road Suite 300 Boulder, Colorado 80301 n-r-c.com • 303-444-7863



777 North Capitol Street NE Suite 500 Washington, DC 20002 icma.org • 800-745-8780

Summary

The National Citizen Survey™ (The NCS™) is a collaborative effort between National Research Center, Inc. (NRC) and the International City/County Management Association (ICMA). The survey and its administration are standardized to assure high quality research methods and directly comparable results across The NCS communities. The NCS captures residents' opinions within the three pillars of a community (Community Characteristics, Governance and Participation) across eight central facets of community (Safety, Mobility, Natural Environment, Built Environment, Economy, Recreation and Wellness, Education and Enrichment and Community Engagement). This report discusses trends over time, comparing the 2014 ratings for the City of Yakima to its previous survey results in 2012 and 2013. Additional reports and technical appendices are available under separate cover.

Trend data for Yakima represent important comparison data and should be examined for improvements or declines. Deviations from stable trends over time, especially, represent opportunities for understanding how local policies, programs or public information may have affected residents' opinions.

Meaningful differences between survey years have been noted within the following tables as being "higher" or "lower" if the differences are greater than five percentage points between the 2013 and 2014 surveys, otherwise the comparison between 2013 and 2014 are noted as being "similar." Additionally, benchmark comparisons for all survey years are presented for reference. Changes in the benchmark comparison over time can be impacted by various trends, including varying survey cycles for the individual communities that comprise the benchmarks, regional and national economic or other events, as well as emerging survey methodologies.

Overall, ratings in Yakima for 2014 generally remained stable. Of the 126 items for which comparisons were available, 107 items were rated similarly in 2013 and 2014, 6 items showed a decrease in ratings and 13 showed an increase in ratings. Notable trends over time included the following:

- Perhaps the most notable increases from 2013 to 2014 were within the facet of Mobility. Street repair ratings increased by 27% from 2013 to 2014. Further, ratings also increased for street cleaning, street lighting and traffic signal timing. No ratings within the facet of Mobility decreased from 2013 to 2014.
- Yakima residents reported higher ratings of overall safety in 2014 compared to 2013. Additionally, ratings increased for crime prevention and animal control.
- Some ratings within Education and Enrichment declined from 2013 to 2014, including K-12 education, child care/preschool and religious or spiritual events or activities.
- Within Natural Environment, there were decreases for open space and for natural areas preservation. All other Natural Environment ratings remained stable from 2013 to 2014.
- Other ratings that increased from 2013 to 2014 included employment opportunities, opportunities to participate in community matters, sewer services, the overall direction Yakima is taking, the overall value for taxes paid and the proportion of residents who had not reported a crime.

The National Citizen Survey $\mbox{^{TM}}$

Table 1: Community Characteristics General

	Percent ratir	ng positively (e.g., ex	cellent/good)		Cor	Comparison to benchmark			
	2012	2013	2014	2014 rating compared to 2013	2012	2013	2014		
Overall quality of life	44%	41%	46%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower		
Overall image	19%	21%	21%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower		
Place to live	49%	50%	52%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower		
Neighborhood	60%	60%	61%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower		
Place to raise children	38%	42%	44%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower		
Place to retire	46%	45%	44%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower		
Overall appearance	29%	28%	30%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower		

Table 2: Community Characteristics by Facet

	·	Percent rating positively (e.g., excellent/good, very/somewhat safe)			2014 rating compared	Comp	arison to bend	chmark
		2012	2013	2014	to 2013	2012	2013	2014
	Overall feeling of safety	NA	23%	29%	Higher	NA	Much lower	Much lower
	Safe in neighborhood	77%	74%	76%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Safety	Safe downtown/commercial area	62%	60%	60%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower
	Overall ease of travel	NA	69%	69%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
	Paths and walking trails	49%	52%	52%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Ease of walking	48%	46%	48%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Travel by bicycle	43%	33%	36%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Travel by public transportation	NA	51%	52%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar
	Travel by car	64%	64%	67%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Public parking	NA	40%	43%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
Mobility	Traffic flow	42%	49%	54%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
	Overall natural environment	49%	56%	54%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Natural Environment	Cleanliness	31%	29%	31%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower
	Overall built environment	NA	36%	39%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower
	New development in Yakima	39%	33%	38%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Built Environment	Affordable quality housing	33%	36%	33%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar

The National Citizen Survey™

			g positively (e.g., e very/somewhat safe		2014 rating compared	Comparison to benchmark		
		2012	2013	2014	to 2013	2012	2013	2014
	Housing options	46%	37%	36%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Public places	NA	35%	30%	Similar	NA	NA	Much lower
	Overall economic health	NA	24%	26%	Similar	NA	NA	Much lower
	Vibrant downtown/commercial area	NA	21%	22%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower
	Business and services	43%	40%	40%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Cost of living	NA	35%	39%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
	Shopping opportunities	39%	37%	33%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Employment opportunities	15%	19%	27%	Higher	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Place to visit	NA	36%	37%	Similar	NA	Much lower	Much lower
Economy	Place to work	38%	40%	44%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Health and wellness	NA	47%	48%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower
	Mental health care	NA	43%	40%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
	Preventive health services	NA	47%	46%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar
	Health care	NA	45%	45%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar
	Food	NA	53%	50%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar
Recreation and	Recreational opportunities	41%	50%	46%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Wellness	Fitness opportunities	NA	55%	57%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
	Religious or spiritual events and activities	62%	71%	66%	Lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Cultural/arts/music activities	41%	43%	44%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Adult education	NA	47%	43%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower
	K-12 education	46%	54%	49%	Lower	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Education and Enrichment	Child care/preschool	27%	50%	43%	Lower	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Social events and activities	38%	36%	41%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Neighborliness	NA	36%	40%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower
Community Engagement	Openness and acceptance	35%	33%	37%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower

The National Citizen Survey $^{\scriptscriptstyle\mathsf{TM}}$

		g positively (e.g., ex very/somewhat safe		2014 rating compared	Comparison to benchmark		
	2012	2013	2014	to 2013	2012	2013	2014
Opportunities to participate in com	munity				Much		
matters	45%	41%	47%	Higher	lower	Lower	Lower
					Much		
Opportunities to volunteer	65%	56%	59%	Similar	lower	Lower	Similar

Table 3: Governance General

	Percent rating	g positively (e.g., e	xcellent/good)		Comparison to benchmark		
	2012	2013	2014	2014 rating compared to 2013	2012	2013	2014
Services provided by Yakima	45%	47%	46%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Customer service	60%	48%	43%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower
Value of services for taxes paid	27%	26%	32%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Overall direction	28%	31%	38%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Welcoming citizen involvement	29%	32%	33%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Confidence in City government	NA	28%	29%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower
Acting in the best interest of Yakima	NA	31%	33%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower
Being honest	NA	32%	33%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower
Treating all residents fairly	NA	29%	32%	Similar	NA	NA	Lower
Services provided by the Federal Government	28%	33%	30%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar

Table 4: Governance by Facet

		Percent rating	positively (e.g.,	excellent/good)		Comp	arison to bench	mark
		2012	2013	2014	2014 rating compared to 2013	2012	2013	2014
	Police	54%	54%	53%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Fire	84%	83%	82%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Ambulance/EMS	83%	79%	79%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Crime prevention	22%	22%	28%	Higher	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower
	Fire prevention	56%	55%	52%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Animal control	28%	26%	31%	Higher	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower
Safety	Emergency preparedness	35%	34%	33%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Traffic enforcement	47%	43%	43%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Street repair	22%	19%	47%	Higher	Much lower	Much lower	Similar
	Street cleaning	42%	38%	49%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Similar
	Street lighting	41%	40%	47%	Higher	Much lower	Lower	Similar
	Snow removal	36%	42%	43%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Sidewalk maintenance	27%	29%	28%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Traffic signal timing	44%	36%	43%	Higher	Lower	Similar	Similar
Mobility	Bus or transit services	62%	59%	61%	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar
Natural Environment	Garbage collection	79%	81%	81%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar

The National Citizen Survey™

		Percent rating	positively (e.g.,	excellent/good)		Comparison to benchmark		
		2012	2013	2014	2014 rating compared to 2013	2012	2013	2014
	Recycling	43%	42%	42%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower
	Yard waste pick-up	58%	61%	63%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Drinking water	64%	61%	58%	Similar	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Natural areas preservation	NA	45%	34%	Lower	NA	Similar	Lower
	Open space	NA	39%	30%	Lower	NA	Much lower	Lower
	Storm drainage	46%	37%	42%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Sewer services	71%	63%	68%	Higher	Lower	Similar	Similar
	Utility billing	NA	54%	52%	Similar	NA	Lower	Similar
	Land use, planning and zoning	28%	27%	28%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Code enforcement	15%	15%	16%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower
Built Environment	Cable television	NA	47%	43%	Similar	NA	Similar	Similar
Economy	Economic development	23%	24%	25%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	City parks	56%	57%	54%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Recreation programs	43%	40%	45%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
	Recreation centers	43%	37%	41%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Lower
Recreation and Wellness	Health services	NA	47%	50%	Similar	NA	Lower	Similar
	Special events	NA	41%	38%	Similar	NA	Much lower	Lower
Education and Enrichment	Public libraries	70%	74%	69%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Lower
Community Engagement	Public information	48%	47%	46%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower

Table 5: Participation General

Table 31 Taracipation Schera										
	Percent rating positively (e	e.g., always/sometimes, mor		Comparison to benchmark						
	2012	2013	2014	2014 rating compared to 2013	2012	2013	2014			
Sense of community	34%	31%	28%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower			
Recommend Yakima	59%	55%	54%	Similar	Much lower	Much lower	Much lower			
Remain in Yakima	73%	79%	73%	Lower	Much lower	Similar	Lower			
Contacted Yakima employees	42%	33%	35%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower			

Table 6: Participation by Facet

		Percent rating posit	Percent rating positively (e.g., always/sometimes, more than once a month, yes)			Compar	Comparison to benchmark		
		2012	2013	2014	to 2013	2012	2013	2014	
	Stocked supplies for an emergency	NA	32%	33%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar	
	Did NOT report a crime	NA	58%	65%	Higher	NA	NA	Lower	
Safety	Was NOT the victim of a crime	74%	71%	75%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower	
	Used public transportation instead of driving	NA	23%	22%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar	
Mobility	Carpooled instead of driving alone	NA	51%	49%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar	

The National Citizen Survey™

		Percent rating posi	tively (e.g., always/so once a month, yes)	metimes, more than	2014 rating compared	Compa	rison to ben	chmark
		2012	2013	2014	to 2013	2012	2013	2014
	Walked or biked instead of driving	NA	52%	52%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
Natural Environment	Recycled at home	78%	71%	73%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
	Did NOT observe a code violation	NA	33%	34%	Similar	NA	NA	Much lower
Built Environment	NOT under housing cost stress	54%	60%	64%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Purchased goods or services in Yakima	NA	92%	93%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
	Economy will have positive impact on income	17%	24%	22%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Economy	Work in Yakima	NA	62%	63%	Similar	NA	NA	Higher
	Used Yakima recreation centers	60%	60%	58%	Similar	Higher	Similar	Similar
	Visited a City park	87%	83%	80%	Similar	Similar	Similar	Similar
Recreation and	Ate 5 portions of fruits and vegetables	NA	79%	79%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
	Participated in moderate or vigorous physical activity	NA	76%	79%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
Wellness	In very good to excellent health	NA	52%	50%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
Education and	Used Yakima public libraries	60%	57%	56%	Similar	Much lower	Lower	Lower
Enrichment	Attended a City-sponsored event	NA	46%	45%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
	Contacted Yakima elected officials	NA	16%	14%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
	Volunteered	54%	46%	47%	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Participated in a club	38%	34%	35%	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Talked to or visited with neighbors	NA	NA	89%	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Done a favor for a neighbor	NA	NA	83%	NA	NA	NA	Similar
	Attended a local public meeting	18%	18%	17%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar
	Watched a local public meeting	47%	38%	35%	Similar	Much higher	Similar	Similar
	Read or watched local news	NA	86%	86%	Similar	NA	NA	Similar
Community Engagement	Voted in local elections	65%	78%	79%	Similar	Much lower	Similar	Similar