

DEPARTMENT OF COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT Joan Davenport, AICP, Director

Planning Division
Joseph Calhoun, Manager
129 North Second Street, 2nd Floor, Yakima, WA 98901
ask.planning@yakimawa.gov · www.yakimawa.gov/services/planning

NOTICE OF APPLICATION, ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW, & PUBLIC HEARING

DATE: May 20, 2022

TO: SEPA Reviewing Agencies, Applicant, and Adjoining Property Owners

FROM: Joan Davenport, AICP, Community Development Director

APPLICANT: Kinloch Borton Enterprises, LLC c/o Jon Kinloch (2550 Borton Rd.,

Yakima, WA 98903)

FILE NUMBER: PLP#004-22, SEPA#009-22
PROJECT NAME: Plat of Apple Tree Phase 1C

LOCATION: Vicinity of Occidental Rd. & S. 96th Ave.

TAX PARCEL NUMBER(S): 171336-44411 **DATE OF APPLICATION:** April 18, 2022 **DATE OF COMPLETENESS:** May 18, 2022

PROJECT DESCRIPTION Preliminary long plat (further subdivision of PSP#004-21, which created nine lots) to create four single-family lots and one tract, in the R-1 zoning district.

<u>DETERMINATION OF CONSISTENCY</u> Pursuant to YMC § 16.06.020(A), the project considerations are determined to be consistent with applicable development regulations, as follows:

- 1. The type of land use: Preliminary Long Plat for four single-family lots
- 2. Level of Development: Four single-family lots on 32.93 acres
- 3. Infrastructure and public facilities: The subject property is able to be served by public streets, water, sewer, garbage collection, etc.
- 4. Characteristics of development: Four single-family homes on lots ranging from 9,893 square feet to 10,351 square feet.

Pursuant to YMC § 16.06.020(B), the development regulations and comprehensive plan considerations are found to be consistent, as follows:

- 1. The type of land use: Preliminary Long Plat for four single-family lots
- 2. Density of Development: Approximately 0.12 dwelling units per net residential acre
- 3. Availability and adequacy of infrastructure and public utilities: The subject property is able to be served by public facilities.

NOTICE OF ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW This is to notify agencies with jurisdiction and environmental expertise and the public that the City of Yakima, Planning Division, has been established as the lead agency, under WAC § 197-11-928 for this project. The City of Yakima has reviewed the proposed project for probable adverse environmental impacts and expects to issue a Determination of Nonsignificance (DNS) per WAC § 197-11-355. The proposal may include mitigation measures under applicable codes and the project review process may incorporate or require mitigation measures regardless of whether an EIS is prepared. A copy of the subsequent SEPA threshold determination will be mailed to parties of record and entities who were provided this notice and may be appealed pursuant to YMC § 6.88.170.

Required Permits: The following local, state, and federal permits/approvals may or will be needed for this project: Building Permit, Grading Permit

Required Studies: N/A

Existing Environmental Documents: None

Development Regulations for Project Mitigation and Consistency Include: the State Environmental Policy Act, the Yakima Urban Area Zoning Ordinance, YMC Title 12—Development Standards, and the Yakima Urban Area Comprehensive Plan.



REQUEST FOR WRITTEN COMMENT AND NOTICE OF PUBLIC HEARING Agencies, tribes, and the public are encouraged to review and comment on the proposed project and its probable environmental impacts. There is a 20-day comment period for this review. This may be your only opportunity to comment. All written comments received by 5:00 p.m. on June 9, 2022, will be considered prior to issuing the final SEPA determination. This request requires that the Planning Commission hold an open record public hearing, which is scheduled for July 13, 2022 at 3:00 p.m., in the City of Yakima Council Chambers, City Hall, 129 N. 2nd St., Yakima, WA. Any person desiring to express their views on the matter is invited to attend the hearing to provide testimony. Please reference file numbers (PLP#004-22, SEPA#009-22) and applicant's name (Kinloch Borton Enterprises LLC) in any correspondence you submit. You can mail your comments to:

Joan Davenport, AICP, Community Development Director City of Yakima, Department of Community Development 129 N. 2nd St.; Yakima, WA 98901

NOTICE OF RECOMMENDATION Following the public hearing, the Planning Commission will issue its recommendation within ten (10) business days. When available, a copy of the recommendation will be mailed to parties of record and entities who were provided this notice once it is rendered.

The file containing the complete application is available for public review at the City of Yakima Planning Division, City Hall – 2nd Floor, 129 North 2nd Street, Yakima, Washington. If you have questions regarding this proposal, please call Eric Crowell, Senior Planner, at (509) 576-6736, or e-mail to eric.crowell@yakimawa.gov.

Enclosed: Narratives, Project Descriptions, SEPA Checklist, Site Plan, and Vicinity Map



DEPARTMENTO DE DESARROLLO COMUNITARIO Joan Davenport, AICP, Directora

Division de Planificación Joseph Calhoun, Gerente 129 Norte Calle 2^a, 2^o Piso, Yakima, WA 98901 ask.planning@yakimawa.gov · www.yakimawa.gov/services/planning

AVISO DE APLICACIÓN, REVISION AMBIENTAL, Y AUDIENCIA PÚBLICA

El Departamento de Desarrollo Comunitario de la Ciudad de Yakima ha recibido una aplicación por parte de un propietario/solicitante y este es un aviso sobre esa solicitud. Información sobre la ubicación de la propiedad en cuestión y la solicitud es la siguiente:

FECHA OTORGADA:

20 de mayo, 2022

PARA: DE:

Agencias de Revisión Ambiental, Solicitante y Propietarios Advacentes

Joan Davenport, AICP, Directora de Desarrollo Comunitario

Kinloch Borton Enterprises, LLC c/o Jon Kinloch (2550 Borton Rd.,

Yakima, WA 98903)

No. DE ARCHIVO:

PLP#004-22, SEPA#009-22 Plat of Apple Tree Phase 1C

NOMBRE DEL PROYECTO: UBICACIÓN:

SOLICITANTE:

Vecindad de Occidental Rd. & S. 96th Ave.

No. DE PARCELA(S):

171336-44411

FECHA DE APLICACIÓN:

18 de abril, 2022 FECHA DE APLICACIÓN COMPLETA: 18 de mayo, 2022

DESCRIPCIÓN DEL PROYECTO: Propuesta preliminar para subdividir (aún más el proyecto de PSP#004-21, que creo nueve lotes) una parcela y crear cuatro lotes unifamiliares y una extensión, en la zona residencial R-1.

DETERMINACIÓN DE LA CONSISTENCIA Conforme al Código Municipal YMC §16.06.020(A), las consideraciones del proyecto se determinan consistentes con las siguientes normas aplicables:

- 1. El tipo de uso terrenal: Subdivisión preliminar para cuatro lotes unifamiliares
- 2. Nivel de desarrollo: Cuatro lotes unifamiliares en 32.93 acres
- 3. Infraestructura e instalaciones públicas: La propiedad puede ser servida por calles públicas, agua, drenaje, recolección de basura, etc.
- 4. Características del desarrollo: Cuatro viviendas unifamiliares en lotes que miden desde 9,893 pies cuadrados hasta 10,351 pies cuadrados.

Conforme al Código Municipal YMC §16.06.020(B), los reglamentos de desarrollo y las consideraciones del plan comprehensivo son coherentes, de la siguiente manera:

- 1. El tipo del uso terrenal: Subdivisión preliminar para cuatro lotes unifamiliares
- 2. Densidad del desarrollo: Aproximadamente 0.12 unidades de vivienda por acre residencial
- 3. Disponibilidad y adecuación de infraestructura y servicios públicos: La propiedad puede ser servida por instalaciones públicas.

AVISO DE REVISIÓN AMBIENTAL: Esto es para notificar a las agencias con jurisdicción y experiencia ambiental y al público que la Ciudad de Yakima, Division de Planificación, se establece como la agencia principal, de acuerdo con la Ley Estatal de Política Ambiental de Washington (SEPA) bajo WAC §197-11-928 para la revisión de este proyecto. La Ciudad de Yakima ha revisado el proyecto propuesto para posibles impactos ambientales adversos y espera emitir una Determinación de No-Significancia (DNS) para este proyecto conforme al proceso DNS opcional en WAC § 197-11-355. La propuesta puede incluir medidas de mitigación bajo los códigos aplicables y el proceso de revisión del proyecto puede incorporar o requerir medidas de mitigación independientemente de si se prepara un EIS (Declaración de Impacto Ambiental). Una copia de la determinación de umbral posterior se enviara a las personas y agencias que comentaron y que recibieron este aviso, y se puede apelar de acuerdo con el Código Municipal de Yakima YMC § 6.88.170.

Permisos Requeridos: Los siguientes permisos/aprobaciones locales, estatales, y federales pueden o serán necesarios para este proyecto: Permiso de Construcción, Permiso de Nivelación Terrenal

Estudios Requeridos: N/A

Documentos Ambientales Existentes: Ninguno



Los Reglamentos de Desarrollo para la Mitigación y Consistencia de Proyectos Incluyen: La Ley Estatal de Política Ambiental de Washington, La Ordenanza de Zonificación del Área Urbana de Yakima, Los Estándares de Desarrollo del Título 12, y el Plan Integral del Área Urbana de Yakima.

SOLICITUD DE COMENTARIOS ESCRITOS Y AVISO DE AUDIENCIA PÚBLICA: Se anima a las agencias, tribus, y el público a revisar y comentar sobre el proyecto y sobre sus probables impactos ambientales. Habrá un periodo de veinte días para hacer sus comentarios. Este podría ser su única oportunidad para comentar. Todos los comentarios recibidos por escrito antes de las 5:00 p.m. el 9 de junio, 2022 serán considerados antes de emitir la decisión final sobre esta solicitud. Esta propuesta requiere una audiencia pública con registro abierto con la Comisión de Planificación. Por lo tanto, una audiencia pública se llevara a cabo el 13 de julio, 2022 comenzando a las 3:00 p.m. en el Ayuntamiento de la Ciudad de Yakima ubicado en el 129 N 2nd Street, Yakima, WA. Se le invita a cualquier persona que desee expresar sus opiniones sobre este caso a asistir a la audiencia pública o a presentar comentarios por escrito. Por favor de hacer referencia al número de archivo (PLP#004-2, SEPA#009-22) o al nombre del solicitante (Kinloch Borton Enterprises LLC) en cualquier correspondencia que envié. Por favor de enviar sus comentarios sobre esta propuesta a:

Joan Davenport, AICP, Community Development Director City of Yakima, Department of Community Development 129 N. 2nd St., Yakima, WA 98901

AVISO DE LA RECOMENDACIÓN FINAL: Después de la audiencia pública, la Comisión de Planificación emitirá su recomendación dentro de diez (10) días hábiles. Cuando la recomendación final sea emitida, una copia será enviada a las personas que mandaron comentarios o que recibieron este aviso.

El archivo que contiene la aplicación completa está disponible para inspección pública en la Oficina de Planificación de la Ciudad de Yakima en el 129 al Norte la Calle 2da, Yakima, WA. Si tiene cualquier pregunta sobre esta propuesta, puede contactar a la Oficina de Planificación al (509) 575-6183 o por correo electrónico al: ask.planning@yakimawa.gov

Adjuntes: Narrativo, Descripción del Proyecto, Lista de SEPA, Plan de Sitio, Mapa



Supplemental Application For:

PRELIMINARY LONG PLAT CITY OF YAKIMA, SUBDIVISION ORDINANCE, TITLE 14

PART II - APPLICATION INFORMATION	COMMUNITY DE			
1. PROPERTY OWNERS (attach if long): List all parties and financial institutions begging an interest in	the property			
KINLOCH BORTON ENTERPRISES, LLC 2550 Borton Rd.				
Yakima, WA 98903				
2. SURVEYOR AND/OR CONTACT PERSON WITH THEIR CONTACT INFORMATION:				
Tim Fries, HLA Engineering and Land Surveying, 509-966-7000.	Į.			
3. NAME OF SUBDIVISION: Apple Tree Phase 1C				
4. NUMBER OF LOTS AND THE RANGE OF LOT SIZES: 4 single family lots, 9,893 sf to 10,351 sf				
5. SITE FEATURES:				
A. General Description: 🗹 Flat 🗌 Gentle Slopes 🔲 Steepened Slopes				
B. Describe any indication of hazards associated with unstable soils in the area, i.e. slides or slipping N/A				
C. Is the property in a 100-Year Floodplain or other critical area as mapped by any local, state, or na defined by the Washington State Growth Management Act or the Yakima Municipal Code? No	tional maps or as			
6. UTILITY AND SERVICES: (Check all that are available)				
☑ Electricity ☑ Telephone ☑ Natural Gas ☑ Sewer ☑ Cable TV ☑ Water Nob Hill ☐ Irrigation				
7. OTHER INFORMATION:				
A. Distance to Closest Fire Hydrant: along S. 96th Avenue				
B. Distance to Nearest School (and name of school): 2,500 ft, West Valley High School				
C. Distance to Nearest Park (and name of park): 6,500 ft, West Valley Park	- 1			
D. Method of Handling Stormwater Drainage: Underground infiltration	- 1			
E. Type of Potential Uses: (check all that apply)	1			
☑ Single-Family Dwellings ☐ Two-Family Dwellings ☐ Multi-Family Dwellings ☐ Commercial ☐	Industrial			
PART III - REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS				
1. PRELIMINARY PLAT REQUIRED: (Please use the attached City of Yakima Preliminary Plat Checkl	ist)			
2. TRAFFIC CONCURRENCY: (if required, see YMC Ch. 12.08. Traffic Canacity Test)				
3 ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST (required):				
I hereby authorize the submittal of the preliminary plat application to the City of Yakima for review. I understand that				
constructed, and access restrictions from public roads may be improved an access on the type of buildings that may be				
failure to meet these conditions may result in denial of the final plat.				
#2.				
Property Owner Signature (required) Property Owner Signature (required)				
Property Owner Signature (required) Date	_			

PROJECT DESCRIPTION TRAFFIC CONCURRENCY

This proposed plat will subdivide approximately 32.93 acres into 4 single family lots, and one tract. The single-family lot sizes will vary in size from approximately 9,893 square feet to 10,351 square feet. The orchard tract will be 31.98 acres. The lots will have frontage onto the S. 96th Avenue.

Access to the plat will be from driveways off South 96th Avenue. Nob Hill Water will serve the lots with domestic water. City of Yakima sewer will serve the sewerage needs. Storm water will all be contained on-site in drain rock envelopes for underground infiltration.

There are no existing surface bodies of water within the development.

RECEIVED

APR 1 8 2022

CITY OF YAKIMA
COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT



ENVIRONMENTAL CHECKLIST

STATE ENVIRONMENTAL POLICY ACT (SEPA)
(AS TAKEN FROM WAC 197-11-960)
YAKIMA MUNICIPAL CODE CHAPTER 6.88

PURPOSE OF CHECKLIST

The State Environmental Policy Act (SEPA), RCW Ch. 43.21C, requires all governmental agencies to consider the environmental impacts of a proposal before making decisions. An environmental impact statement (EIS) must be prepared for all proposals with probable significant adverse impacts on the quality of the environment. The purpose of this checklist is to provide information to help you and the agency identify impacts from your proposal (and to reduce or avoid impacts from the proposal, if it can be done) and to help the agency decide whether an EIS is required.

INSTRUCTIONS FOR APPLICANTS

This environmental checklist asks you to describe some basic information about your proposal. Governmental agencies use this checklist to determine whether the environmental impacts of your proposal are significant, requiring preparation of an EIS. Answer the questions briefly, with the most precise information known, or give the best description you can. You must answer each question accurately and carefully, to the best of your knowledge. In most cases, you should be able to answer the questions from your own observations or project plans without the need to hire experts. If you really do not know the answer, or if a question does not apply to your proposal, write "do not know" or "does not apply". Complete answers to the questions now may avoid unnecessary delays later. Some questions ask about governmental regulations, such as zoning, shoreline, and landmark designations. Answer these questions if you can. If you have problems, the governmental agencies can assist you. The checklist questions apply to all parts of your proposal, even if you plan to do them over a period of time or on different parcels of land. Attach any additional information that will help describe your proposal or its environmental effects. The agency to which you submit this checklist may ask you to explain your answers or provide additional information reasonably related to determining if there may be significant adverse impact.

USE OF CHECKLIST FOR NONPROJECT PROPOSALS

Complete this checklist for non-project proposals, even though questions may be answered "does not apply." IN ADDITION, complete the SUPPLEMENTAL SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (part D).

For non-project actions, the references in the checklist to the words "project," "applicant," and "property or site" should be read as "proposal," "proposer," and "affected geographic area," respectively.

A. BACKGROUND INFORMATION (To be completed by the applicant.)

- 1. Name Of Proposed Project (If Applicable): Preliminary Plat of Apple Tree No.4 Phase 2
- 2. Applicant's Name & Phone: Kinloch Borton Enterprises, LLC (509) 945-3712

RECEIVED

3. Applicant's Address: 2550 Borton Rd., Yakima, WA 98903

APR 1 8 2022

4. Contact Person & Phone: Jon Kinloch, (509) 945-3712

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

- 5. Agency Requesting Checklist: City of Yakima
- 6. Date The Checklist Was Prepared: April 3, 2022
- 7. **Proposed Timing Or Schedule (Including Phasing, If Applicable)**: The Plat of Apple Tree Phase 1C will be developed in one phase, and anticipate construction starting in Summer, 2022.
- 8. Do you have any plans for future additions, expansion, or further activity related to or connected with this proposal? If yes, explain: This SEPA is in conjunction with a Preliminary Plat Application for Apple Tree Phase 1C and construction of the proposed residential buildings. The remaining property will remain in orchard.

- 9. List any environmental information you know about that has been prepared, or will be prepared, directly related to this proposal: None are known to exist.
- 10. Do you know whether applications are pending for governmental approvals of other proposals directly affecting the property covered by your proposal? If yes, explain: None are known to exist.
- 11. List any government approvals or permits that will be needed for your proposal, if known:

City of Yakima – Preliminary Plat Approval

City of Yakima - SEPA Determination.

City of Yakima - Building Permit Approval.

City of Yakima - Plan review and approval, and construction approval for municipal facilities constructed by private parties

12. Give a brief, but complete description of your proposal, including the proposed uses and the size of the project and site. There are several questions later in this checklist that ask you to describe certain aspects of your proposal. You do not need to repeat those answers on this page. (Lead agencies may modify this form to include additional specific information on project description.):

This proposed plat will subdivide approximately 32.93 acres into 4 single family lots, and one tract. The single-family lot sizes will vary in size from approximately 9,893 square feet to 10,351 square feet. The orchard tract will be 31.98 acres. The lots will have frontage onto the S. 96th Avenue.

Nob Hill Water will serve the lots with domestic water. City of Yakima sewer will serve the sewerage needs. Storm water will all be contained on-site in drain rock envelopes for underground infiltration.

RECEIVED

APR 1 8 2022

CITY OF YAKIMA

COMMUNITY DEVELOPMENT

13. Location of the proposal. Give sufficient information for a person to understand the precise location of your proposed project, including a street address, if any, and section, township, and range, if known. If a proposal would occur over a range of area, provide the range or boundaries of the site(s). Provide a legal description, site plan, vicinity map, and topographic map, if reasonably available. While you should submit any plans required by the agency, you are not required to duplicate maps or detailed plans submitted with any permit applications related to this checklist.:

Preliminary Plat of Apple Tree Phase 1C is located at the northwest corner of the intersection of Occidental Rd. and S. 96th Avenue. A portion of Section 36, Township 13 North, Range 17 E., W.M.

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS (To be completed by the applicant)	Space Reserved for Agency Comments
1. Earth	

В. 1	SNV	TRONMENTAL ELEMENTS (To be completed by the applicant)	Space Reserved for Agency Comments
	a.	General description of the site (✓ one):	
	\boxtimes	flat rolling hilly steep slopes mountainous other Gently Sloping	
	b.	What is the steepest slope on the site (approximate percent slope)? The steepest slope is approximately 1.5 percent.	
	c.	What general types of soils are found on the site (for example, clay, sand, gravel, peat, muck)? If you know the classification of agricultural soils, specify them and note any prime farmland. National Resources Conservation Service Soil Mapping was consulted regarding the onsite soils at the plant site. The USDA Soil Conservation Service (SCS) indicated the primary soil for the site is a Harwood Loam which is a CL-CG or A-6 soil type. The remainder of the site is a mixture of Esquatzel Silt Loam, which is a ML or A-4 soil type, Gorst Loam, which is a CL or A-6 soil type, and Kittitas Silt Loam, which is a ML or A-4 soil type. The Harwood Loam and Kittitas Silt Loam are considered prime farmland. The Harwood Loam and Gorst Loam are considered prime farmland if irrigated.	RECEIVED APR 1 8 2022 CITY OF YAKIMA
	d.	Are there surface indications or history of unstable soils in the immediate vicinity? If so, describe. There are no known indications of unstable soils on site or in the immediate vicinity.	MUNITY DEVELOPMEN
	e.	Describe the purpose, type, and approximate quantities of any filling or grading proposed. Indicate source of fill.	
		The project consists of usual and normal embankment construction for new streets and building lots. As it applies to the entire project area, there is significant grading proposed for street construction and lot development expected. No fill is proposed and no net increase or decrease in quantity of material is anticipated. Earthwork quantities will be determined during the design phase of the development. Source of fill will be from on-site excavations or from approved/permitted borrow site.	
j		Could erosion occur as a result of clearing, construction, or use'? If so, generally describe. It is possible erosion could occur during the construction phase of the development, but it is not expected. After construction is completed, each individual residential lot will be landscaped, and long-term erosion is unlikely to occur.	
į	g.	About what percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfaces after project construction (for example, asphalt or buildings)?	
		Apple Tree Phase 1C will be constructed in accordance with R-1 standards, with approximately 40-percent of the site will be covered with impervious surfacing. The lot coverage maximum in the R-1 zone is 60 percent.	
I		Proposed measures to reduce or control erosion, or other impacts to the earth, if any: During the site development and construction activities, the contractor will be required to utilize appropriate erosion control Best Management Practices, and regulatory erosion control stormwater management plans will be implemented. Silt fencing and dust control measures will be implemented. Storm drainage improvements will be constructed to comply with City of Yakima standards. The site will be permanently stabilized post-construction by sodding and landscaping.	
2. A	4ir		

В.	ENVIRO	ONMENTAL ELEMENTS (To be completed by the applicant)	Space Reserved for Agency Comments
	auto is contexts contexts and ther	at types of emissions to the air would result from the proposal (i.e., dust, omobile, odors, industrial wood smoke) during construction and when the project ompleted? If any, generally describe and give approximate quantities if known bical emissions of dust and automobile odors will be generated during construction. Dust trol procedures will be in place during construction to limit the dust to the maximum ent practicable. Construction activity will be limited to area immediately adjacent to the struction area. Dust is not expected after construction as the site will be fully landscaped irrigated, or will be covered with asphalt concrete pavement. After project completion, re will be no adverse effects on the air, the emissions will be from automobiles belonging ocal residents and staff. Minimal emissions from commercial heating devices may occur or project completion. Approximate quantities are not known.	
	so, ;	e there any off-site sources of emissions or odor that may affect your proposal? If generally describe. ne are known to exist.	RECEIVED
	The requ of th will cons	posed measures to reduce or control emissions or other impacts to air, if any: contractor shall comply with Yakima Regional Clean Air Agency regulatory airements. The contractor may be required to use dust control measures such as watering the construction area to eliminate wind-borne erosion if a problem arises. The contractor also be required to clean mud and dust from public roadways as necessary. In addition, struction equipment will be well maintained to prevent excessive exhaust emissions.	APR 1 8 2022 CITY OF YAKIMA MUNITY DEVELOPMEN
3.	Water	face:	
	1.	Is there any surface water body on or in the immediate vicinity of the site (including year-round and seasonal streams, saltwater, lakes, ponds, wetlands)? If yes, describe type and provide names. If appropriate, state what stream or river it flows into. There are no existing surface bodies of water or stream on the site. There are existing irrigation/decoration ponds immediately east of the property on the golf course.	
	2.	Will the project require any work over, in, or adjacent to (within 200 feet) the described waters? If yes, please describe and attach available plans. There will be no work over or in any of the bodies of water. The new construction will occur approximately 450' west of the closest irrigation pond.	
	3.	Estimate the amount of fill and dredge material that would be placed in or re moved from surface water or wetlands and indicate the area of the site that would be affected. Indicate the source of fill material. No fill or dredge material will be placed or removed from any surface water or wetlands.	
	4.	Will the proposal require surface water withdrawals or diversions? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known.	
	5.	The new development will not require any surface water withdrawals or diversions. Does the proposal lie within a 100-year floodplain? If so, note location on the site plan. According to FEMA FIRM map number 53077C1009E the site does not lie within the 100-year floodplain.	
	6.	Does the proposal involve any discharges of waste materials to surface waters? If so, describe the type of waste and anticipated volume of discharge. The proposal will not discharge any waste material to surface waters.	

B. ENVIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS (To be completed by the applicant)	Space Reserved for Agency Comments
b. Ground:	Agency Comments
1. Will ground water be withdrawn, or will water be discharged to ground water? Give general description, purpose, and approximate quantities if known. The proposal will not withdraw or discharge to ground water. Domestic water supply is proposed from the Nob Hill Water which has deep source water wells.	
2. Describe waste material that will be discharged into the ground from septic tanks or other sources, if any (for example: Domestic sewage; industrial, containing the following chemicals; agricultural; etc.). Describe the general size of the system, the number of such systems, the number of houses to be served (if applicable), or the number of animals or humans the system(s) are expected to serve.	RECEIVED
Waste materials will not be discharged from any source into the ground. The projects will be connected to City of Yakima public sanitary sewer.	APR 1 8 2022 CITY OF YAKIMA
c. Water Runoff (including stormwater):	MMUNITY DEVELOPMEN
 Describe the source of runoff (including storm water) and method of collection and disposal, if any (include quantities, if known). Where will this water flow? Will this water flow into other waters? If so, describe. The sources of water runoff will be primarily from rainfall and snowmelt. The runoff is proposed to be collected and managed on-site via surface retention and infiltration facilities or underground infiltration facilities. Stormwater treatment and disposal facilities will be designed and sized in accordance with the Eastern Washington Storm Water Manual and City of Yakima standards. This project will not result in the discharge of storm water into a surface water body. Could waste materials enter ground or surface waters? If so, generally describe. No waste materials are anticipated to enter ground or surface waters. Proposed measures to reduce or control surface, ground, and runoff water impacts, if any: Stormwater runoff from the roads will be retained, treated, and disposed of on-site via surface retention and infiltration facilities, or underground infiltration facilities. Stormwater from homes will be directed onto landscaped areas on each residential lot. Accepted BMP engineering practices for stormwater drainage systems will be implemented to collect and manage the surface and runoff water impacts. 	
4. Plants:	
a. Check (✓) types of vegetation found on the site:	
Deciduous Tree: Alder Maple Aspen Other	
Evergreen Green: Fir Cedar Pine Other	
☐ Shrubs ☐ Grass ☐ Pasture Crop Or Grain ☐ Other	
Wet Soil Plants:	
Water Plants: Milfoil Eelgrass Water Lily Other	
Other Types Of Vegetation:	
b. What kind and amount of vegetation will be removed or altered? The development will be cleared of existing natural occurring pasture grasses and trees where necessary for the construction of the street, utility improvements, and home construction.	
c. List threatened or endangered species known to be on or near the site. There are no listed endangered or threatened plants on the project site or within the general project vicinity.	

В.	EN		Space Reserved for Agency Comments
	d.	Proposed landscaping, use of native plants, or other measures to preserve or enhance vegetation on the site, if any: The completed residential homesites will be landscaped with typical residential lawns, trees, flowers, and shrubs. The completed residential apartment complex homesites will be landscaped with typical residential lawns, trees, flowers, and shrubs. Additionally, landscaping will occur within the parking areas, and along the property lines.	
5.	An	iimals:	
	a.	Check (✓) any birds and animals which have been observed on or near the site or are	
Bird	da.	known to be on or near the site: ☐ Hawk ☐ Heron ☐ Eagle ☐ Songbirds ☐ Other	
Mar			D. N. a
Fish			RECEIVED
1 151.	b.	List any threatened or order greated species brown to be an expectation of Thomas	
	υ.	no known endangered or threatened species on or near the project site.	APR 1 8 2022
	C.	Is the site part of a migration route? If so, explain. Most of Washington State is part of the Pacific Flyway migratory route for birds.	CITY OF YAKIMA UNITY DEVELOPMENT
	d.	Proposed measures to preserve or enhance wildlife, if any: None.	
6.	Enc	ergy and Natural Resources	
	b. с.	What kinds of energy (electric, natural gas, oil, wood stove, solar) will be used to meet the completed project's energy needs? Describe whether it will be used for heating, manufacturing, etc. It is anticipated that electricity and/or natural gas will be the primary sources of cooling and heating the residences. Electricity will also be used for normal residential demands of lighting, etc. During construction: equipment fuel. Would your project affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties? If so, generally describe. The project will not affect the potential use of solar energy by adjacent properties. What kinds of energy conservation features are included in the plans of this proposal? List other proposed measures to reduce or control energy impacts, if any: New construction will be built to Washington State Energy Codes and as required by the International Building Code.	
7.	Env	vironmental Health	
	a.	Are there any environmental health hazards, including exposure to toxic chemicals, risk of fire and explosion, spill, or hazardous waste that could occur as a result of this proposal? If so, describe. There are no known environmental health hazards that could occur as a result of proposal. A fuel spill may occur as a result of construction activities.	
	1	Describe special emergency services that might be required. There are no known emergency services that would be needed as a result of this new building. Emergency medical aid may be required should an injury occur during construction.	
	2	2. Proposed measures to reduce or control environmental health hazards, if any: There are no known environmental health hazards associated with this proposal, therefore there are no proposed measures. The site is a historic pasture and the land was tested for arsenic during previous development and shown to be below the thresholds of concern.	

Revised 07/2014

5. k	DIN V	TRONMENTAL ELEMENTS (To be completed by the applicant)	Space Reserved for Agency Comments
	b.	Noise	
	1	. What types of noise exist in the area, which may affect your project (for example: traffic, equipment, operation, other)? Traffic noise from adjacent public streets.	
	2	. What types and levels of noise would be created by or associated with the project on a short-term or a long-term basis (for example: traffic, construction, operation, other)? Indicate what hours noise would come from the site.	
		Short-term noise consists of construction activities associated with commercial construction. Construction noise can be expected from approximately 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m.	RECEIVED
		Long-term noise is expected from the typical residential maintenance equipment, i.e. lawn mowers, leaf blowers, power trimmers, snow blowers, etc. during daylight hours.	APR 1 8 2022
			CITY OF YAKIMA COMMUNITY DEVELOPME
	3	Proposed measures to reduce or control noise impacts, if any: No adverse noise impacts are anticipated; however, we propose to restrict construction to 7:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m In addition, we will comply with the City of Yakima and Yakima County Noise Ordinance, as it applies to this project.	
	Lar	d and Shoreline Use	
,	a.	What is the current use of the site and adjacent properties? The project area consists of unused open land. There is open land located immediately to the north and east of the subject parcel. Residential homes are located to the all sides of the subject property.	
	b.	Has the site been used for agriculture? If so, describe. The site has been used agriculturally for fruit production.	
ı	c.	Describe any structures on the site . There is currently no residential home on the Apple Tree Phase 1C site.	
-	d.	Will any structures be demolished? If so, what? None.	
	e.	What is the current zoning classification of the site? R-1.	
	f.	What is the current comprehensive plan designation of the site? R-1.	
i	g.	If applicable, what is the current shoreline master program designation of the site? Not applicable.	
]	h.	Has any part of the site been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area? If so specify. No part of the site has been classified as an "environmentally sensitive" area.	
:	i.	Approximately how many people would reside or work in the completed project? Approximately 11 people (2.7 people per home) would reside in the completed project.	
j	j.	Approximately how many people would the completed project displace? None	
]	k.	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce displacement impacts, if any. Not applicable.	
]	1.	Proposed measures to ensure the proposal is compatible with existing and projected land uses and plans, if any: The current zoning for these properties is determined that the properties are suitable for uses permitted in the respective single family zoning district. The	

Revised 07/2014 Page 10

В.		VIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS (To be completed by the applicant)	Space Reserved for Agency Comments
9.	Ho	using	
	a.	Approximately how many units would be provided, if any? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. Approximately 4 single family lots will be created.	
	b.	Approximately how many units, if any, would be eliminated? Indicate whether high, middle, or low-income housing. None.	
	c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control housing impacts, if any: Not applicable.	
10.	Ae	sthetics	
	a.	What is the tallest height of any proposed structures, not including antennas; what are the principal exterior building materials proposed? Most likely less than 35 feet in max height. Principal building materials will consist of stone, brick, stucco, wood.	RECEIVED
	b.	What views in the immediate vicinity would be altered or obstructed? None known.	APR 1 8 2022
			CITY OF YAKIMA COMMUNITY DEVELOPI
	c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control aesthetic impacts, if any: Apple Tree Phase 1C will reflect the style and quality of the Apple Tree Resort. Restrictive covenants will require fences and landscaping to be in harmony with the community and neighboring properties. Compliance with zoning and building code regulations regarding building height, lot coverage and setbacks will also be in effect.	COMMONTA
11.	Lig	ht and Glare	
	a.	What type of light or glare will the proposal produce? What time of day would it mainly occur? Typical and normal residential and residential security night lighting form dusk until dawn.	
	b.	Could light or glare from the finished project be a safety hazard or interfere with views? The light or glare is not expected to pose any safety hazards or interfere with any views.	
	c.	What existing off-site sources of light or glare may affect your proposal? None.	
	d.	Proposed measures to reduce or control light and glare impacts, if any:	
		Proposed street lighting, security lighting, and possible accent lighting will be directed downward throughout the development. Encourage the use of lowest necessary wattage.	
12.	Re	creation	
	a.	What designated and informal recreational opportunities are in the immediate vicinity? Apple Tree Golf Course is located immediately adjacent to the property. A community pool, cabana are located in the adjacent Apple Tree Subdivision.	
	b.	Would the proposed project displace any existing recreational uses? If so, describe. No.	
	c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts on recreation, including recreation opportunities to be provided by the project or applicant, if any: None needed.	
13.	His	toric and Cultural Preservation	


. 1	EN	VIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS (To be completed by the applicant)	Space Reserved for Agency Comments
	a.	Are there any places or objects listed on, or proposed for, national, state, or local preservation registers known to be on or next to the site? If so, generally describe. According to the Department of Archaeology & Historic Preservation's (DAHP) Washington Information System for Architectural and Archeological Records Data (WISAARD), there are no registered properties within or adjacent to the project limits.	
	b.	Generally describe any landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or cultural important known to be on or next to the site. There are no known landmarks or evidence of historic, archaeological, scientific, or other cultural significance located on or near the site.	
	c.	Proposed measures to reduce or control impacts, if any: There are no known impacts, therefore no measures are proposed. If, during construction, artifacts are found, then work within the area will cease and the proper authority will be notified.	
4.	Tra	ansportation	
	a.	Identify public streets and highways serving the site, and describe proposed access to the existing street system. Show on site plans, if any. South 96 th Avenue abuts Apple Tree Phase 1C on the east and is just north of Occidental Road. The development will access South (6 th avenue. Apple Tree Phase 1C will not access Occidental Road. See attached preliminary plat drawing.	RECEIVED APR 1 8 2022
	b.	Is site currently serviced by public transit? If not, what is the approximate distance to the nearest transit stop? No. The nearest bus stop is located at Nob Hill and South 72nd Avenue, approximately 2 miles to the east.	CITY OF YAKIMA MUNITY DEVELOPMI
	c.	How many parking spaces would the completed project have? How many would the project eliminate? None	
		In Apple Tree Phase 1C, each homesite will have a minimum of 2 off-street parking spaces. No parking spaces will be eliminated.	
	d.	Will the proposal require any new roads or streets, or improvements to existing roads or streets, not including driveways? If so, generally describe (indicate whether public or private). No.	
	e.	Will the project use (or occur in the immediate vicinity of) water, rail, or air transportation? If so, generally describe. No.	
	f.	How many vehicular trips per day would be generated by the completed project? If known, indicate when peak volumes would occur.	
		Based upon the Tenth Edition of the Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, a single family residential project (Land Use 210) is anticipated to generate approximately 9.57 vehicle trips per weekday per residence. That equates to approximately 38 vehicle trips per day for (50% entering and 50% exiting).	
		The estimated volume during the A.M. peak hour (7:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m.) of adjacent street traffic (S. 96 th Avenue) is 0.74 trips per single residence or 3 trips (25% entering, 75% exiting). The estimated volume during the P.M. peak hour (4:00 p.m. to 6:00 p.m.) of adjacent street traffic is 1.01 trips per single-family residence or 4 trips (63% entering, 37% exiting).	

B. I	ENV	VIRONMENTAL ELEMENTS (To be completed by the applicant)	Space Reserved for Agency Comments
	g.	Proposed measures to reduce or control transportation impacts, if any: No measures are planned. Primary access will be provided via one gated entrance from South 96 th Avenue.	
15.	Pul	blic Services	
	a.	Would the project result in an increased need for public services (for example: fire protection, police protection, health care, schools, other)? If so, generally describe:	
		The project will have probable incremental increased demand for fire and police protection, public safety services and schools could be anticipated, relative to the potential population increase.	
	b.	Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity, which might be needed. Water form Nob Hill Water and Sewer from the City of Yakima are available to serve the property and would be extended to serve new buildings.	RECEIVED
			APR 1 8 2022
6.	Uti	lities	
	a.	Circle utilities currently available at the site: <u>electricity</u> , <u>natural gas</u> , <u>water</u> , <u>refuse</u> <u>service</u> , <u>telephone</u> , <u>sanitary sewer</u> , septic system, other.	CITY OF YAKIMA OMMUNITY DEVELOPM
	b.	Describe the utilities that are proposed for the project, the utility providing the service, and the general construction activities on the site or in the immediate vicinity, which might be needed. Answered above	
		Domestic Water: Nob Hill Water Association	
		Sanitary Sewer: City of Yakima	
		Refuse: City of Yakima or private company	
		Power: Pacific Power	
		Telephone: Qwest or Charter	
		Irrigation: Ahtanum	
		Natural Gas: Cascade Natural Gas Company	
c. s	IG	NATURE (To be completed by the applicant.)	
The	abo	ove answers are true and complete to the best of my knowledge. I understand that the	lead agency is relying
		to make its decision. Digitally signed by Michael R Heit Date: 2022.04.18 17:12:20-07'00'	
rop	ort.	y Owner or Agent Signature Date Submitted	

PLEASE COMPLETE SECTION "D" ON THE NEXT PAGE IF THERE IS NO PROJECT RELATED TO THIS ENVIRONMENTAL REVIEW

Revised 07/2014

D.	SUPPLEMENT SHEET FOR NONPROJECT ACTIONS (To be completed by the plicant.) (DO NOT USE THE FOLLOWING FOR PROJECT ACTIONS)	Space Reserved For Agency Comments
Be of prothe	cause these questions are very general, it may be helpful to read them in conjunction with the list the elements of the environment. When answering these questions, be aware of the extent the oposal or the types of activities that would likely result from the proposal and how it would affect item at a greater intensity or at a faster rate than if the proposal were not implemented.	reguley comments
1.	How would the proposal be likely to increase discharge to water; emissions to air; production, storage, or release of toxic or hazardous substances; or production of noise? The proposal will not increase discharges to water, air, release toxic or hazardous substances, or increase noise pollution.	
	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce such increases are: This question is not applicable.	
2.	How would the proposal be likely to affect plants, animals, fish, or marine life? The proposal will not create any adverse impacts on plants, animals, fish or marine life.	
	Proposed measures to protect or conserve plants, animals, fish, or marine life are: This question is not applicable.	RECEIVED
3.	How would the proposal be likely to deplete energy or natural resources? The proposal will not deplete energy or natural resources other than through normal building operations of commercial businesses.	APR 1 8 2022 CITY OF YAKIMA
	Proposed measures to protect or conserve energy and natural resources are: Energy efficient measures will be installed wherever practicable; for instance, water efficient fixtures will be used.	MUNITY DEVELOPMENT
4.	How would the proposal be likely to use or affect environmentally sensitive areas or areas designated (or eligible or under study) for governmental protection; such as parks, wilderness, wild and scenic rivers, threatened or endangered species habitat, historic or cultural sites, wetlands, floodplains, or prime farmlands? The proposal will not affect any sensitive areas or areas designated for governmental protection.	
	Proposed measures to protect such resources or to avoid or reduce impacts are: This question is not applicable.	
5.	How would the proposal be likely to affect land and shoreline use, including whether it would allow or encourage land or shoreline uses incompatible with existing plans? The proposal will not affect land or shoreline use.	
	Proposed measures to avoid or reduce shoreline and land use impacts are: This question is not applicable.	
6.	How would the proposal be likely to increase demands on transportation or public services and utilities? The proposal will have a slight increase of daily trips above the original road use, however the increase can be accommodated by the existing surface streets which were constructed to accommodate heavy traffic from surrounding land uses.	
	Proposed measures to reduce or respond to such demand(s) are: No negative impacts are anticipated.	
7.	Identify, if possible, whether the proposal may conflict with local, state, or federal laws or requirements for the protection of the environment. The proposal is not known to conflict with local, state, or federal laws protecting the environment.	



Project Name: KINLOCH BORTON ENTERPRISES, LLC / PLAT OF

"APPLE TREE PHASE 1C"

Site Address: S 96TH AVE & OCCIDENTAL RD


File Number(s): PLP#004-22, SEPA#009-22

Proposal: Proposed preliminary short plat to create four residential lots in the R-1 zoning district.

Planning

VICINITY MAP





Map Disclaimer: Information shown on this map is for planning and illustration purposes only. The City of Yakima assumes no liability for any errors, omissions, or inaccuracies in the information provided or for any action taken, or action not taken by the user in reliance upon any maps or information provided herein. Date Created: 4/26/2022

